ARTICLE · 2018

The Client's Internal Conflict and the Trap of Anti-Ego-Therapy

Michael Soth

One of the most common traps in humanistic therapy: taking sides with the client's 'emergency' against their ego defences, constructing therapy as an anti-ego procedure. This paper argues that the ego is not the enemy but an essential ally — that siding with the client's spontaneous process against their defences enacts the client's internal conflict interpersonally, making therapy exhausting and unsustainable.

KEY THEMES

— The trap of siding with 'emergency' against 'habitual mode'

— Why the ego is an essential ally, not the enemy

— Humanistic therapy's shadow: anti-ego-therapy

— The window of tolerance and the edge of chaos

— Relational supervision and recognising entrapment

The Client's Conflict: Habitual Mode vs Emergency

The client's internal conflict can be understood as a dynamic between two poles. On one side: 'habitual mode' — the established structure of character, the defensive ego, the familiar pattern of relating which maintains the status quo. On the other: 'emergency' — the spontaneous life force pressing for change, the unlived aspects seeking expression, the wound demanding acknowledgment.

Left to their own devices, in ordinary social interactions, clients oscillate over time between these two poles — spending most of their time in habitual mode, but occasionally veering into emergency. Other people around the client typically react unconsciously, setting up constantly recurring enactments of the wounding.

In therapy, the therapist has the opportunity — and the responsibility — to respond differently. But there is a fundamental danger: because we understand intuitively the counter-productive nature of defences, especially in the humanistic tradition, we tend to construct therapy as a procedure towards self-actualisation and transcendence of the client's defensive ego position. Therefore we tend to polarise against the client's ego defences.

Anti-Ego-Therapy: The Common Trap

When the therapist sides habitually with the 'emergency' against the 'habitual mode', they take an Anti-Ego position — constructing themselves as an enemy of the client's ego. This is one of the most common traps in therapy, especially in the humanistic therapies, and one of the main issues brought to supervision.

By constructing ourselves as therapeutic champions of the 'Anti-Ego-therapy', we end up enacting the client's internal conflict inter-personally — battling against the client's ego defences. This is exhausting and unsustainable for the therapist. The therapist who habitually sides with the client's spontaneous process against their ego will inevitably hit the wall of the client's resistance — because the ego is protecting something real, and the client's unconscious knows it.

The ego is not the enemy of therapeutic progress. It is an essential ally — the part of the client which can reflect, cooperate, maintain continuity, engage in the working alliance. Without sufficient ego, there can be no therapeutic process. The art lies in relating to the client's ego as an ally rather than an obstacle — even while recognising its defensive functions.

The Integrative-Relational Alternative

The integrative-relational alternative to Anti-Ego-therapy is therapy which works at the edge of the window of tolerance — in the tension between habitual mode and emergency, rather than trying to push through one pole towards the other. This is 'paradoxical relational therapy': holding the conflict without taking sides, allowing both poles to be present and in relationship.

In this approach, the therapist aims to be genuinely interested in the ego's position — in what the defences are protecting, in why the habitual mode makes sense, in the wisdom embedded in the character structure — while also remaining attuned to the spontaneous process, the emergency, the as-yet-unlived aspects pressing for acknowledgment.

Relational supervision often involves helping the therapist recognise their counter-productive entrapment in an Anti-Ego construction of therapy, co-created by the client's conflict and the therapist's own training and habitual position. Once this entrapment becomes visible, a different, more spacious way of working becomes possible.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

— Winnicott, D.W. (1960) Ego distortion in terms of true and false self. In Maturational Processes. Hogarth

— Reich, W. (1972) Character Analysis. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux

— Rogers, C. (1961) On Becoming a Person. Boston: Houghton Mifflin

— Siegel, D. (1999) The Developing Mind. New York: Guilford Press

— Soth, M. (2006) How the wound enters the consulting room. Therapy Today

Published at soth.co.uk · © 2018 Michael Soth · All rights reserved