ARTICLE · 2003

Paradoxes, Pitfalls and Potential of Two-Chair Work

Michael Soth

A more extended theoretical exploration of two-chair work — attending to the paradoxes inherent in a technique which externalises the internal conflict, the pitfalls which arise when the technique is applied without sufficient relational attunement, and the genuine transformative potential when the work engages both poles of the conflict at the level of embodied bodymind experience.

KEY THEMES

— The paradox of making internal conflict external

— Pitfalls: performance versus embodied enactment

— The client's resistance to inhabiting the critical pole

— Two-chair work and the window of tolerance

— Integrating with transference-countertransference awareness

The Central Paradox

Two-chair work rests on a paradox: it takes an internal conflict and externalises it into physical space, then asks the client to sequentially inhabit each pole — to be, for a time, first one part and then the other. This externalisation is both the method's strength and the source of its potential pitfalls.

The strength: making the conflict spatial and visible creates the possibility of a relationship between the poles which the internal configuration does not allow. As long as both poles are simultaneously 'inside', neither can be fully experienced; they collapse into each other, or one overwhelms the other, or the ego oscillates between them without ever fully inhabiting either.

The paradox: in externalising the conflict, we risk making it into a performance. The client may 'play' each role intellectually, giving voice to each position, without the bodymind reality of either being genuinely present. When this happens, the work may be interesting and even insightful, but it will not be transformative — because transformation requires the bodymind engagement of both poles, not just their verbal representation.

The Pitfalls

The most common pitfall is precisely the performance pitfall: the client enacts the conflict as a kind of theatrical exercise, articulating each pole's position without being moved by either. The therapist, impressed by the client's eloquence and apparent engagement, may not notice that the work is happening at the cognitive-verbal level only.

A related pitfall is the anti-ego-therapy pitfall: the therapist subtly sides with the 'alive' or 'spontaneous' chair against the 'defending' or 'critical' chair — signalling through body language, tone, and subtle encouragement that one pole is more 'real' or more therapeutic than the other. When this happens, the client's ego — already identified with the 'critical' position — experiences the two-chair work as an attack, and will resist more strongly.

A third pitfall is moving too fast: asking the client to switch chairs before they have fully inhabited the current position, so that neither pole is ever really present and the work remains at the level of rapid alternation without depth.

The Potential

The genuine potential of two-chair work is realised when both poles are embodied in the full bodymind sense — when the 'child chair' carries actual sensation, impulse, emotion; when the 'critic chair' carries the actual muscular weight and psychological gravity of the internalised object; when neither is being performed but both are being genuinely experienced.

At that point, something can happen which the internal configuration normally prevents: a genuine encounter between the poles, in which neither is trying to defeat the other, but in which each is recognised and acknowledged. This is not the resolution of the conflict — it is a different relationship to it, one in which both poles are held in awareness simultaneously rather than oscillating unconsciously between them.

When two-chair work is integrated with transference-countertransference awareness — so that the therapist is attending to both what is happening in the chairs and how the conflict is manifesting in the therapeutic relationship — it becomes a particularly rich clinical instrument, capable of engaging the wound at multiple levels simultaneously.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

— Perls, F., Hefferline, R. & Goodman, P. (1951) Gestalt Therapy. New York: Julian Press

— Greenberg, L. (2002) Emotion-Focused Therapy. Washington DC: APA

— Soth, M. (2019) Two-chair work — key points. Teaching notes

— Johnson, S.M. (1994) Character Styles. New York: Norton

— Soth, M. (2005) Embodied countertransference. In N. Totton (ed.) New Dimensions in Body Psychotherapy. OUP

Published at soth.co.uk · © 2003 Michael Soth · All rights reserved